1	Ekwan E. Rhow - State Bar No. 174604	
2	erhow@birdmarella.com Thomas R. Freeman - State Bar No. 135392	
3	tfreeman@birdmarella.com Marc E. Masters - State Bar No. 208375	
4	mmasters@birdmarella.com	
5	BIRD, MARELLA, BOXER, WOLPERT, NE DROOKS, LINCENBERG & RHOW, P.C.	ESSIM,
6	1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor	
7	Los Angeles, California 90067-2561 Telephone: (310) 201-2100	
	Facsimile: (310) 201-2110	
8	Marc L. Godino - State Bar No. 182689	
9	Jonathan M. Rotter - State Bar No. 234137 Pavithra Rajesh - State Bar No. 323055	
10	GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP	
11	1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067-2561	
12	Telephone: (310) 201-9150 Facsimile: (310) 201-9160	
13	Email: info@glancylaw.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Misty Hong	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
17		
18		
19	MISTY HONG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 5:19-cv-07792-LHK
20	Plaintiff,	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF
21	VS.	PROTECTIVE ORDER
22	BYTEDANCE, INC., a corporation,	
23	TIKTOK, INC., a corporation; BEIJING BYTEDANCE	
24	TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD., a	
25	privately-held company; and MUSICAL.LY, a corporation.	
26	Defendants.	
27	Defondunts.	
28		

1	Plaintiff Misty Hong hereby moves, unopposed, for the entry of the protective order		
2	attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A redline of the proposed protective order against the Court's Model		
3	Protective Order for Litigation Involving Patents, Highly Sensitive Confidential Information		
4	and/or trade secrets is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.		
5	Defendants do not oppose the entry of the protective order, and have authorized Plaintiff to		
6	include the following language concerning Defendants' position:		
7	Defendants have represented that they do not oppose the entry of this protective		
8	order, but out of an abundance of caution to avoid any waiver of their arbitration and personal jurisdiction arguments, they are unable to stipulate to its entry at this		
9	time. See Martin v. Yasuda, 829 F. 3d 1118, 1126 (9th Cir. 2016) (defendant waived right to arbitration by "entering into a protective order, answering		
10	discovery," and other "conduct inconsistent with their right to arbitrate").		
11	DATED: April 17, 2020 Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim,		
12	Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C.		
13	D		
14	By: /s/ Marc E. Masters Marc E. Masters		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Misty Hong		
16	Automeys for I tuning misty Hong		
17	DATED: April 17, 2020 Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP		
18			
19	By: <u>/s/ Jonathan M. Rotter</u> Jonathan M. Rotter		
20			
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff Misty Hong		
22	<u>ATTESTATION</u>		
23	I, Jonathan M. Rotter, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used		
24	to file this document. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the		
25	Signatories herein concur in this filing.		
26	DATED: April 17, 2020 <u>s/ Jonathan M. Rotter</u>		
27	Jonathan M. Rotter		
28			
	1		
	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF PROTECTIVE ORDER		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I, the undersigned say: I am not a party to the above case and am over eighteen years old. On April 17, 2020, I served true and correct copies of the foregoing document, by posting the document electronically to the ECF website of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, for receipt electronically by the parties listed on the Court's Service List. I affirm under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 17, 2020, at Los Angeles, California. s/ Jonathan M. Rotter Jonathan M. Rotter